

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 17 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/541,085 Confirmation No.: 4067
Applicant(s): Eldon et al.
Filed: 04/06/2006
Art Unit: 2629
Examiner: Rainey, Robert R.
Title: Multifunction Keypad

Attorney Docket No.: 884A.0120.U1(US)
Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 08/18/2009 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 10, 14-20, and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lueders (US 6,067,074) in view of Ostergard et al. (US 6,704,004) and Yamazaki et al. (US 2002/0034930). Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lueders (US 6,067,074) in view of Ostergard et al. (US 6,704,004), Yamazaki et al. (US 2002/0034930), and Gutowitz (US 6,219,731). The examiner is requested to reconsider these rejections.

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. In *re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974).